Automatic Detection of Access Control Vulnerabilities in Web Applications by URL Crawling and Forced Browsing
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Abstract. Access control vulnerabilities can be disastrous in Web applications. The vulnerabilities might be introduced when developers set up unsafe policies in design phase or inconsistently implement safe policies. Attackers take advantage of the vulnerabilities to obtain the authority of administrator and the sensitive information of another user. Hence, the early detection of access control vulnerabilities is very important. This paper proposes a dynamic analysis that automatically detects access control vulnerabilities in web applications. Given a web site and authorities, accessible URLs for each authority are collected by crawling the web site, and then a chosen subset of the URLs are tested to check whether or not access control vulnerabilities exist for the given authority. We implemented the idea, experimented it with some selected web applications, and found some real access-control vulnerabilities.
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1 Introduction

Web applications often provide some privileged access only to authorized users. Access control policies, which tell who has privileged access to which resources, are determined and specified at design phase. Web application developers then should carefully write the code making sure that they consistently follow the policies. However, it is often the case that access control policies are not properly set up or not consistently implemented, causing access control vulnerabilities in web applications. Unauthorized users are able to make use of the vulnerabilities
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to illegally escalate their privilege. Hence it is important that developers validate that the implementation does not violate access control policies, so that privilege escalation attacks are prevented. Unfortunately, however, due to the difficulty in designing access control policies and implementing perfect access control checks, web applications are often vulnerable to access control attacks.

In this paper, we present a dynamic analysis technique that automatically detects access control vulnerabilities in Web applications. Given a web site and authorities, accessible URLs for each authority are collected by crawling the web site, and then a chosen subset of the URLs are forced-browsed to check whether or not access control vulnerabilities exist for the given authority. The experiment shows that the implementation actually found some access control vulnerabilities, namely privilege escalation, in real-life Web applications. The method does not have false positive by nature.

This paper is organized as follows: after explaining the automatic detection method and algorithm in Section 2, and concluding in Section 3. The full version of the paper will include a section of related works and experimental results.

2 Methodology and algorithm

2.1 URL crawling

URL crawling is a process of finding in an orderly fashion all the hypertext links (URLs) and collecting accessible ones residing in a given Web site. The URLs are exposed in Web pages as attribute values in elements listed in Table 1. The process first finds all the URL strings from the root page of a given site, sends the HTTP request using GET method for each URL, and then saves the URLs of accessible response pages. The process goes on recursively for all the saved pages until no more URLs are found. The detailed URL crawling algorithm is as follows:

algorithm url-crawler(url):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Attributes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>href</td>
<td>form</td>
<td>action</td>
<td>applet</td>
<td>codebase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>area</td>
<td>href</td>
<td>object</td>
<td>archive</td>
<td>object</td>
<td>data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>link</td>
<td>href</td>
<td>body</td>
<td>background</td>
<td>img</td>
<td>longdesc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>base</td>
<td>href</td>
<td>blockquote</td>
<td>cite</td>
<td>frame</td>
<td>longdesc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frame</td>
<td>src</td>
<td>q</td>
<td>cite</td>
<td>iframe</td>
<td>longdesc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iframe</td>
<td>src</td>
<td>del</td>
<td>cite</td>
<td>head</td>
<td>profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>script</td>
<td>src</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>cite</td>
<td>img</td>
<td>usemap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>input</td>
<td>src</td>
<td>object</td>
<td>classid</td>
<td>input</td>
<td>usemap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>image</td>
<td>src</td>
<td>object</td>
<td>codebase</td>
<td>object</td>
<td>usemap</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Attributes containing URL values
response = http-get-request(url)
if response is OK:
    url-set = {url}
    for u in collect-url(response)
        u = build-valid-url(url,u)
        url-set = set-union(url-set, url-crawler(u))
else:
    url-set = {}
return url-set

2.2 Forced browsing

When a Web application employs an access control policy and gives different authorities to users depending on the policy, the URLs collected can be different depending on the given authority. URL crawling with different authorities, say \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \), may result in different URL sets, say \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \), respectively. The intersection of two URL sets, \( P_1 \cap P_2 \), represents the pages that are accessible with either authority. The set difference, \( P_1 - P_2 \), represents the pages that are only accessible with authority \( A_1 \). Similarly, \( P_2 - P_1 \) represents the pages that are only accessible with authority \( A_2 \). If we can successfully access either \( P_1 - P_2 \) with authority \( A_2 \) or \( P_2 - P_1 \) with authority \( A_1 \), we can say that there exist privilege escalation which is considered as access control vulnerabilities.

![Fig. 1. Categories of access control: vertical and horizontal](image)

Access control policies can be broadly categorized into vertical and horizontal access controls [8]. Vertical access controls typically involve a division between ordinary users and administrators as shown in the lefthand side of Figure 1. Say that an ordinary user with authority \( A_2 \) can only access pages in \( P_2 \) and an administrator with authority \( A_1 \) can access pages in \( P_2 \). Thus the pages in \( P_1 - P_2 \) are only accessible to the administrator. Horizontal access controls allow users to...
access a certain subset of a wider range of resources of the same type as shown in the righthand side of Figure 1. For example, a mail application may allow you to read your email but no one else’s. Pages in $P_1 - P_2$ are only accessible to a user with authority $A_1$, but not to the one with $A_2$. Thus we can detect the existence of privilege escalation by forced browsing pages in $P_1 - P_2$ with authority $A_2$ and analyzing the response. If the response is the same as the one obtained with authority $A_1$, the page is access control vulnerable, resulting privilege escalation. Note that there is no $P_2 - P_1$ in vertical access controls. In real-life applications, vertical and horizontal access controls are intertwined. In that case, we can still apply the above methodology and obtain the difference set. If there are more than three different authorities, every combination of different pairs has to be tried. There are $n \choose 2$ combinations to try when there are $n$ different authorities.

The algorithm for detecting privilege escalation is as follows:

```python
def detect-privilege-escalation(url, authority1, authority2):
    vulnerable-pages = empty-set
    get-access-privilege(authority1)
    url-set1 = url-crawler(url)
    get-access-privilege(authority2)
    url-set2 = url-crawler(url)
    url-set = set-difference(set-union(url-set1, url-set2),
                           set-intersection(url-set1, url-set2))
    for u in url-set:
        get-access-privilege(authority1)
        response1 = http-get-request(u)
        get-access-privilege(authority2)
        response2 = http-get-request(u)
        if response1 == response2:
            vulnerable-pages = set-union(vulnerable-pages, {u})
    return vulnerable-pages
```

For Web applications that require user authorization, forced browsing should be accompanied by authorization request. The information for authorization can be retrieved from form element.

3 Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a method of detecting access-control vulnerabilities in Web applications by forced browsing URLs automatically collected by dynamic URL crawling. The method proposed in this paper detects access-control vulnerabilities (privilege escalation to be exact) by forced browsing using URLs automatically obtained by Web crawling technique. Neither source code nor access-control policies is required for the detection. Furthermore, even without administrator’s authority, the method can detect horizontal privilege escalation between users as well as vertical privilege escalation between public and authorized users. The method produces no false positive because it applies forced
browsing only to dynamically crawled pages. However, there might be some false negatives since URLs collected by dynamic browsing comprise the entire resources the Web application has. There is a room for improvement in URL crawling. We might be able to find more URLs in the client-side scripts and HTML documents.
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