Analysis of Current Policies and Service Situations for Overcoming Korea's Low Natality Rate Problem - Perspective of Creating a Society of Work-Life Balance -

Kim Seon Hee, Nam Hee Eun, Lee Mi Ran, Bae Eun Suk

Professor of Social Welfare in Kosin University, henam@kosin.ac.kr

Abstract. The purpose of this investigation is to seek a political proposal and a plan to solve the problem of the extremely low natality rate in the Korean society. For this, we should firstly analyze the change in Korea's population policy, and secondly, we should compare the progress of change of birthrate and the present situation of local birthrate. Thirdly, we should analyze the various childbirth support policies propelled by local governments and service conditions from the perspective of coexistence of work and family.

To strengthen the supportive policies, and to create a society where the coexistence of work and family are both possible, not only the central government, but also the local governments should consider 'population policy including the increase of birthrate' as a primary assignment and should establish and enforce a long-term plan that is practical for the next 20 years. If not, the low natality rate will always be an obstacle when it comes to economic and societal development of Korea.

Keywords: Low Natality Rate Problem, Childbirth Policies and Service, Work-Life Balance

1 Purpose of the Investigation

The purpose of this investigation is to seek a political proposal and a plan to solve the problem of the extremely low natality rate in the Korean society. For this, we should firstly analyze the change in Korea's population policy, and secondly, we should compare the progress of change of birthrate and the present situation of local birthrate. Thirdly, we should analyze the various childbirth support policies propelled by local governments and service conditions from the perspective of coexistence of work and family.

The government has enforced the policies by spending 130 billion dollars into measures of low birthrate and aging problems for the past 10 years. However, the birth rate was 1.12 in 2006 and 1.19 in 2014, and Korea has remained a country with an extremely low birthrate for the last 10 years. Korea has a lower birthrate than the 'top 3 oldest countries', Japan, Germany, and Italy. Also, the ratio of unmarried people above the age of 15 is 38.6%, and is 11.5% higher than the OECD average, 27.1%. The birthrate in Busan is the lowest out of all metropolitan cities, with the rate

ISSN: 2287-1233 ASTL Copyright © 2015 SERSC of 1.06 children in 2014, and the marriage rate decreased by 7.7%, compared to the former year.

Although the problem of low natality rate is a problem that correlates directly to our future, the socio-economic problems such as the change in the values of marriage and family, youth employment, the expense of raising and educating the children are all amplectant, and a certain policy will not be able to solve the problem in a short period of time. However, considering the time difference of the population policy is 20 years, the next few years are the "Golden Time of population policy." Therefore, useful and powerful policies to overcome the low natality rate are desperately needed right at this moment.

2 Methods of Study

This investigation is an investigation that analyzed the statistical data of existing government department, policy, and service. To analyze the change in population policy, we analyzed the "Planned Parenthood Federation of Korea" that has been accomplishing all of the typical policies in Korea since the 1960's, and also analyzed the Ministry of Health and Welfare, Ministry of gender equality and family, Ministry of Employment and Labor, and the Birth Encouragement Policies and services of Busan Metropolitan City to overcome the low natality rate.

3 Study Results

3.1 The Change in Population Policies in Korea

Korea's typical population policy executing the organization "Planned Parenthood Federation of Korea" was established in 1961 and led the "Have 3 kids movement" in the 1960s and executed the business on contraception propagation focused on local society with the "Have 2 kids movement." In the 1980's, the government announced the "Prevention of population outburst pannation resolution conference signature campaign" as a rising population curb policy, and also launched "One kid Family".

In 1988, the government formally announced the over fulfillment of 1% of population increase rate, and accomplished constant suppressing of childbirth policies by launching 'propelling of demonstration business of family plans for 21C' and other various policies of "one child." The business organization formally changed its name to "Planned Parenthood Federation of Korea" in 1999. Its accomplishments in 2000 were focused on sex education, sex clinic, and the breastfeeding movement. In 2004, they changed its function from suppressing childbirth into supporting childbirth, by starting the low natality rate promotion, and also hosted a national meeting to overcome the society of advanced age and low birthrate. It formally changed its name to "Population Health Social Welfare Association" and executed the business of improving the health of childbearing women, a business to create an environment for healthy labor, and a business to support an infertile couple. Likewise, the suppressing

of childbirth policies that have been consistent for 40 years ultimately changed to supporting childbirth policies in 2004 and after.

3.2 The Situation of Low Birth Rate in Korea

Women's total birth rates in 2013 are: 2.01 children in USA, 1.88 in France, 1.73 in England, 1.40 in Germany, 1.29 in Japan, whereas 1.19 in Korea, being the lowest of the OECD countries. Also, 1.0 in Seoul, and 1.05 in Busan, making Busan with the lowest natality rate in the 7 metropolitan cities. Within Busan, there is a significant difference within the regions as well, for Su-Gu being 0.82 and Yeongdo Gu being 0.88 (Statistical Office, 2013). Korea entered into the "low birth rate society" in 1982 with 2.05 which is below population replacement level of 2.1, and became an 'extremely low natality rate society' in 1998 with 1.25 children. Korea instantly lost its title of being an 'extremely low natality rate country' in 2012 with 1.30, but re-obtained it again in 2013 with 1.19. According to the National Assembly Research Service's report, Busan is going to be the first city to disappear due to its low birth rate and advanced age society. Oxford population research institute also states that if the low birth rate and rapid speed of advanced age, Korea will be the first country to disappear on Earth.

Birth Rate of Big Cities in Korea (2009-2013)

Division	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
All States	1.15	1.23	1.24	1.30	1.19
Seoul	0.96	1.02	1.01	1.06	0.97
Busan	0.94	1/05	1.08	1.14	1.05
Daegu	1.03	1.11	1.15	1.22	1.13
Incheon	1.14	1.21	1.23	1.30	1.20
Kwangju	1.14	1.22	1.23	1.30	1.17
Daejeon	1.16	1,21	1.26	1.32	1.23
Ulsan	1.31	1.37	1.39	1.48	1.39
Sejong	-	-	-	1.60	1.44

Data: National Statistical Office, Demography Investigation (2009-2013)

3.3 Direction of Government's Supporting Childbirth Policies and Services

Various attempts are necessary to correctly diffuse the desirable marriage and family values since the problem of low natality rate is directly correlated to the change in values of marriage and family. The problem of youth job shortage is the main factor of low natality rate and should be fixed primarily. In 2013's standard, 87.9% of female students and 81.3% of male students from academic high schools went to a university,

and 49.3% of male students and 43.6% of female students from vocational high schools went to a university. The youth generation in Korea is a group of highly educated people, and almost every youth desires a 'good' job. Financial stability is an essential prerequisite for marriage.

Therefore, to overcome the low natality rate, job shortage should be primarily fixed in order for youth to get married. Both male and female are not even able to hope for marriage if they are not given the chance of employment(Kim.e.K,2001, Seunghee Kim, Seonmi Kim,2013, Song Hyerim,2014)). Our society also needs to bring about supporting policies so that both male and female are able to work and raise their kid. Giving birth, nurturing, and taking care of the family were traditionally women's jobs, but responsibility of both men and women for such aspects is widely spreading. Even in the 1980's, the theory that the employment rate and birth rate were inverse proportions dominated within the OECD countries.

However, in the case of Sweden, the employment rate of female was 72.5%, and the birth rate was 1.91 children, and in the case of USA, the female employment rate was 62.3%, and the birth rate was 1.88 children. Therefore, countries such as North European countries, Australia, Netherlands, New Zealand, and Great Britain, where 2 /3 of female are employed, and where birth rate per female exceeded 1.7 children, show a high employment rate and a high birthrate which contradict the former hypothesis. (Jeon Hye Sook, 2015) The primary figure in childbirth is female. Therefore, a society where work and family are both possible to manage is needed so that women will not avoid giving birth due to societal, psychological, and financial disputes.

The government proposed 8 core assignments in the 'Third basic plan for low natality rate and advanced age society'.

- 1. Strengthening of residential support for newly married couples
- 2. support for pregnancy and childbirth
- 3. expansion of support for coexistence of work and family
- 4. legal guarantee for maternity leave
- 5. agreement of retirement age and supplied pension age
- 6. reestablishment of the standard of the elders
- 7. construction of general foreigner management system
- 8. establishment of charter system for the elders

If the policies of the past 10 years focused on increasing the number of childbirth, the policies in the future will focus on eliminating factors causing a low birth rate and increase the birth rate to 1.5 children in 2020. Therefore, the direction of the governmental policies for supporting childbirth is focusing on late marriage and a dual-income, and concentrating on creating a society where giving birth and raising the child is an attainable goal, as shown by the Third Basic Plan.

Family Affinity Program

Support for childbirth and raising	Creating a Society of Family-Friendly	Flexible Work Arrangements
Maternity Leave Shortened Work Time Vacation before and after	Leaving Work on Time Educating workers about Family affinity	Time Difference in coming to work and leaving work
Birth	Supporting Constant and Long	C

Support Programs for	Term Vacations	Working in the system of
Pregnant Women	Taking days off for Family	Hour
r regnant women	Taking days off for Failing	11001
Nursing Facilities, Lounger	Support for Family Health	Smart Work
for mothers	Checkups	
Support of Child Tuition	Providing a lounge for Family	
Installation of Kindergarden	Moving the work place if the	
at Work Place	spouse has moved the work place	
Provision of Child Care	Operating a Family Camp	
Benefit		

3.4 Political Proposal on Overcoming the Low Birth Rate

To strengthen the supportive policies, and to create a society where the coexistence of work and family are both possible, not only the central government, but also the local governments should consider 'population policy including the increase of birthrate' as a primary assignment and should establish and enforce a long-term plan that is practical for the next 20 years. If not, the low natality rate will always be an obstacle when it comes to economic and societal development of Korea.

The primary figure in childbirth is female. Females should therefore be able to give birth and raise children without having to worry. In order to create a stress-free environment, support throughout pregnancy, labor, and childhood should be provided as well as residential aid. A society where both men and women are able to raise children and where both work and family can coexist is necessary. For this to be possible, first, it is necessary to combine the disconnected population policies by determining the governmental ministry that supervises population policies. Second, the development of an educational population program is necessary. Low natality is a problem that is impossible to solve using only the strength of the government. This generation should unite in an effort to solve the problem. The parent generation strongly desires for their children and their grandchildren to live a better life than their own. The low natality rate directly correlates to the change in family and marriage values. We have to make a great effort to promote the positive values of marriage and family. Third, a network connection between various organizations such as schools, religious groups, civil groups, and presses is needed to expand the movement of childbirth support in a local society.

References

- 1. Statistical Office, (2013).
- H.K Cho and J. Seo, 'Policy demand of the family sector for work-family balance', Journal
 of Korean Family Resource Management Association (2009), Vol.13, No.1, pp.61-81.
- 3. J. H. Kim, 'A path analysis of social support, marital conflict, self-efficacy, and mother'sparenting behaviorss of infants.'Journal of Korean Academia-industrial Cooperation Society (2012), Vol.13, No.9, pp.3924-3933.
- 4. Seung hee Kim and Seon mi Kim, 'A Phenomenological Study on the Work-Family Comoatibility of Dual-Earner Families', Journal of Korean Home Economics Association(2013), Vol.51, No.3, pp.355-370.

- 5. Hye rim Song, 'A study for the balance between Work and Family of married working women: focused on the families with the child(ren) of lower grades, Journal of Korean Family Resource Management Association(2014), Vol.18, No.3, pp.41-59.
- 6. Young Sun Han, Young Keum Jeong, 'The effects of flexible work arrangments on workfamily conflict and facilitation, and job satisfaction for married working women: A longitudinal analysis, Journal of Korean Family Resource Management Association(2014), Vol.18, No.4, pp.1-23.
- Busan Social Welfare Development Institute, Busan Social Welfare Statistics(2014). pp. 92-98.