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Abstract. Since the Internet provides a space for expressing and sharing Internet users’ ideas or minds, corporate marketers can acquire measurable and actionable insights from the web archives like SNS (Social Network Service). As SNS is widely used to record people’s daily activities and thoughts, researcher can gather and read people’s mind on SNS through opinion mining or sentiment analysis. In this study we suggest a framework for clustering brand names of cosmetic products using social metrics gathered on the social media - Microblog, Twitter. To cluster the brand names, we calculate the distance of paired brand names based on the total number paired brand names mentioned together. These distances are transformed to project the brand names onto a 2-dimensional and a 3-dimentional space using MDS (Multi-Dimensional Scaling). After projecting the brand names, we found the clusters of the brand names using k-means clustering and identified the characteristics of each cluster.
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1 Introduction

Many people record and share their daily minds and opinions on news, products and brands in SNS. Therefore social media such as Facebook, Twitter, and LinkedIn play a crucial role for collecting, transmitting, and sharing opinions of people. Many firms are eager to identify these collective opinions about firms’ products or brands. Since customers are likely to share ideas pertaining to firms’ products or brand frankly on the web - especially on social media, gathering and mining opinions has become a critical factor for marketers who are trying to identify customer preferences.

In this paper we suggest a framework for clustering corporate brands based on social metrics - the number of brand names mentioned together on microblog and on Twitter. After reviewing the related works of brand positioning and clustering in section 2, we propose a framework for clustering corporate brands - seven steps. To verify the suggested framework, we conduct a case study of the cosmetic products. Social metrics of cosmetic brand names are extracted and clustered using the suggested framework.
2 Related Works

The previous research can be classified into two major approaches. The first approach aims to reveal the effect of social media on brand images. The second approach focuses on providing a market positioning framework to support marketing decision.

2.1 Brand and Social Media

The De Vries et al. (2012) analyzed the effects of social media marketing using the brand posts - video clip, messages, quizzes and information about the brand - found on 11 international brand fan pages[2]. Their findings explain that many different drivers influence the number of “Like” and the number of comments. However this research focused on the effect of social data on the drivers for social media users’ evaluation results.


2.2 Brand Clustering

Punj & Moon (2002) suggested a psychological categorization framework to support marketing managers in making major positioning decisions [1]. They developed five sets of propositions connecting positioning options for product with the processing of brand-level information. Chintagunta (1994) proposed a heterogeneous logit model for branding positioning. The suggested model is used to analyze data regarding the purchases of liquid laundry detergents [6].

3 Research Framework

To cluster brand names using SNS data, we propose the following procedure depicted in Fig. 1 as a research framework. The basic assumptions of this research are:

i) The more frequent two brand names are mentioned, the closer two brand names are perceived by consumers

ii) The distance between two brand names is in inverse proportion to the frequency of co-mention

The first step is choosing an industry and brand names. It would be better to choose an industry having dozens of brand names to cluster brand names properly. Small number of brand names may result in too trivial clusters and excessive number of brand names may also cause difficulty in understanding the result and practical uses. Step 2 is creating a co-mention matrix based on SNS data. If two brand names are
mentioned frequently, people perceive these brands as similar. Hence a co-mention matrix becomes a base table to calculate the similarity (or distance) between brand names. The next step is calculating distance between all pairs of brand names using a co-mention matrix. In Step 4 we project brand names onto 2-dimensional or 3-dimensional space. MDS(Multi-Dimensional Scaling), a statistical method to project instances (brand names) onto a multi-dimensional space. In this research we choose 2-dimensional or 3-dimensional space to interpret projection results intuitively. The fifth step is grouping brand names using a cluster analysis - minimizing inter-cluster distances while maximizing intra-cluster distances. After finding out the clusters, we are needed to identify the characteristics of each cluster for better understanding of the clusters. Final step will be building a brand positioning strategy to deploy marketing actions.

![Research framework]

4 Case Study

To verify the feasibility of the suggested framework, the cosmetic products are chosen. We sampled the most famous 30 cosmetic brand names. The social metric data from microblogs articles and twitter mentions are gathered for 60 days. In case of no brand name, the posted articles or the twitter mentions are excluded.

If a certain brand name is mentioned with other brand names, we count the numbers of times that the two brand names are mentioned together. Let $C_{ij}$ be the element of a matrix $C$, co-mention matrix, denoting the sum of co-mention number that $i$th brand name is mentioned with $j$th brand name. Table 1 shows some part of co-mention matrix $C$. 

---

**Step 1:** Choosing brand names  
**Step 2:** Creating Co-mention matrix  
**Step 3:** Calculating Distance (similarity) between brand names  
**Step 4:** Projecting brand names onto both 2D and 3D positioning Map  
**Step 5:** Grouping brand names using cluster analysis  
**Step 6:** Identifying characteristics of clusters  
**Step 7:** Building Brand Positioning Strategy  

Fig. 1. Research framework
Table 1. Co-mention matrix C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brand names</th>
<th>Innisfree</th>
<th>Missha</th>
<th>Etude</th>
<th>Thefaceshop</th>
<th>Mac</th>
<th>Nars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Innisfree</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>1137</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missha</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etude</td>
<td>1137</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thefaceshop</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mac</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nars</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

And then the distance matrix D, denoting the distance between two brand names, is created from C matrix. Figure 2 shows a formula to create elements, \(d_{ij}\), in D matrix from the elements in matrix C.

\[
d_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{(c_{ij}+1)}, & \text{where } i \neq j \\ 
0, & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases}
\]

Fig. 2. Matrix Conversion formula

Table 2 denotes the results of converting the co-mention matrix C, distance matrix D

Table 2. Distance matrix D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Innisfree</th>
<th>Missha</th>
<th>Etude</th>
<th>Thefaceshop</th>
<th>Mac</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>Nars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Innisfree</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missha</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etude</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thefaceshop</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mac</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nars</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We use MDS (Multidimensional Scaling) to project brand names onto both 2-dimensional and 3-dimensional space. Figure 3 and Figure 4 show the projected results of brand names onto 2-dimensional and 3-dimensional space respectively. The brand names on the space depicted by the same shape belong to the same cluster.
5 Conclusion and Future Research Plan

In this study, we used social media data to suggest a framework for identifying the brand name cluster of the cosmetic products using social media data. We expect this research will assist marketers to develop additional insight into customer preferences. However, as described below, our study has some limitations and further research needs to be performed: i) The co-mention matrix C needs to be elaborated. One of the possible compliments is considering sentiment words on the social media as shown in Fig. 1. ii) To satisfy the minimum level of stress value in MDS analysis we need to gather much social data.
Fig. 4. Space Projection result onto 3-Dimensional Space
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